UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/768,629	01/29/2004	Karla Weaver	10123/00901	6763
Patrick J. Fay, I	7590 06/16/200 E sa.	EXAMINER		
	& MARCIN, LLP	SCHMIDT, EMILY LOUISE		
150 Broadway		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
New York, NY	10038	3767		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/16/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/768,629	WEAVER ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Examinor	AILOIIIL	

	Emily Schmidt	3767	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>01 June 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (the MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f.)	ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE).	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FILE	n. .ED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the slaset forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount nortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	te extension fee e action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENIAN APPEARS. 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS	mais a to the state of filling a bais f		
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further con (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett	sideration and/or search (see NO¯v);	ΓE below);	
appeal; and/or	or form for appear by materially for	adoming or omitphinyming th	10 100000 101
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.11		ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	* **	mnliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		impliant / imenament (i	102 024).
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 		timely filed amendmer	t canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prove The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		l be entered and an ex	planation of
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-6</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
 AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to over showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fails ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attache	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowand	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (label{eq:statement}).	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/Kevin C. Sirmons/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3767	/Emily Schmidt/ Examiner, Art Unit 3767		

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendments to the claims would be sufficient to overcome the objections to claim 2 and further rejections under 35 U.S.C. 112 second paragraph regarding language previously found in the claims reciting "...the thickness of the seating portion is greater than a thickness of the lumen occluding portion..." However, these amendments significantly change the scope of the claims and would require new grounds of rejection. Therefore, they have not been entered.

The rejections under 35 U.S.C. 112 regarding the recitation of the minority portion are maintained. The drawings and disclosure do not sufficiently encompass the recitation that the seating portion covers a minority of a surface area of the lumen occluding portion. Though the specification discloses the seating portion to be at the periphery there is no supporting disclosure as to the size or span of the area of the periphery. Lacking further definition the periphery of a device could encompass the majority or minority of a surface area.

Further the Examiner maintains the rejections in view of Moorehead and Ohringer. Moorehead teaches varying the length of the slit and aperture to determine the pressure differentials which cause the slit to be opened. Ohringer teaches diameter d3 of a plate 19 surrounding the slit can be varied to control the flow. As the references teach the size of the slit and aperture surrounding the slit can be varied to create desired flow conditions the Examiner maintains that it would be obvious to vary these parameters, both the length of the slit and the size of the aperture, to achieve desired flow such that the seating portion would cover a minority of the surface area of the lumen occluding portion. The Examiner acknowledges the teaching of Ohringer with regard to tearing but is not suggesting that the length of the slit should be maintained at a length less than that of the aperture. The above references teach altering both the length of the slit and the size of the aperture to achieve desired flow conditions. The Applicant has further argued that the references do not teach that the seating portion is coupled to the housing. Merriam-Webster defines coupled as to connect ("couple." Merriam-Webster Online Dictionary. 2009. Merriam-Webster Online. 23 February 2009 http://www.merriam-webster.com/dictionary/couple). The Examiner has taken elements 120 and 124 in the device of Moorehead to construe the flow control membrane which are connected to housing 42. This membrane, including the seating portion is effectively coupled to, connected to, the housing (Fig. 2). Two objects can be coupled together via another object..